Table 1. Articulatory Kinematic Approaches to AOS Intervention and Specific Strategies

Author(s) & year	Immediate repetition	Delayed repetition	Phonetic/ Articulatory placement	Phonetic/ Articulatory placement diagrams	Graphemi <i>c/</i> Orthographic cues	Models/ Imitation/ Integral stimulation	Unison	Reading	Answering questions	Additional self-practice/ Auditory models from AAC device	Verbal feedback
					8-step task continuum	inuum					
Aitken Dunham (2010)	X	X				X					
				Motor J	Motor Learning Guided (MLG) approach	(MLG) approacl					
Friedman et al. (2010)	×					X	×	×	×	×	
Lasker et al. (2008)	X	X						X		×	×
Lasker et al. (2010)	X	X						X		×	X
				Ph	Phonologic placement treatment	it treatment				-	
Savage et al. (2012)			×	×						×	
				Speech	Speech Motor Learning (SML) Program	(SML) Program					
van der Merwe (2007)					X	X					X
van der Merwe (2011)					×	×					×
				Soun	Sound Production Treatment (SPT)	atment (SPT)					
Wambaugh (2004)	X		X			X					×
Wambaugh & Nessler (2004)	X		X		X	X	X				X
Wambaugh & Mauszycki (2010)	X		×		X	×	X				×
					Repeated practice	ctice					
Wambaugh et al. (2012)	X					X					×
					Script training	gui					
Youmans et al. (2011a)					×	×	×	×			
Youmans et al. (2011b)					×	×	×	X			

Table 2. Summary of Articulatory Kinematic Studies

Author(s)	Number of	AOS severity	Targets/Dependent	Treatment	
(year)	participants	& aphasia	variables	description	Outcomes
Aitken Dunham (2010)	2	Both mild– moderate AOS With aphasia	Words	8-step task continuum— integral stimulation; delayed production; successive productions	2/2 participants demonstrated improvement for producing words
Friedman et al. (2010)	1	Moderate–severe AOS No mention of aphasia	Functional phrases	Motor Learning Guided (modified)—unison production with clinician, repetition, delayed repetition, clinician models, orthographic cues, fading cues	Accuracy increased for trained targets. Scores decreased after 1 to 2 weeks, but remained higher than baseline.
Lasker et al. (2008)	1	Severe–profound AOS With aphasia	CV words Two-syllable words/ phrases	Motor Learning Guided—clinician model, immediate repetition, imposed delay between each attempt, independent production	Acquired and used treatment targets
Lasker et al. (2010)	1	Severe AOS With aphasia	4 to 11 syllable phrases	Motor Learning Guided—clinician model, immediate repetition, imposed delay between each attempt, independent production	Improvements for trained stimulus items
Savage et al. (2012)	1	Severe AOS No reported aphasia	Sounds in words	Phonologic placement treatment—drawings or photographs for phonological support, tactile cues, auditory input	Improved production of sounds in words
van der Merwe (2007)	1	AOS severity not reported Without aphasia	Words	Speech Motor Learning Program—see van der Merwe (2011)	Decrease in incorrect productions and increase in self-corrections for words
van der Merwe (2011)	1	Moderate AOS—spoke in 4- to 5-word phrases/sentences With aphasia	Words	Speech Motor Learning Program—hierarchy of steps and stimuli from simple to complex— imitation, orthographic cues, integral stimulation	Improvements for the production of words, but loss of experimental control. Unknown if improvements were due to treatment.
Wambaugh (2004)	2	Moderate–severe AOS With aphasia Mild–moderate AOS With aphasia	Phrases of 2 words One participant: /r/ blends in monosyllabic words	Sound Production Treatment—minimal pair contrasting, repetition, modeling, articulatory placement cues, verbal feedback	Increased accuracy for sounds treated

Table 2. Summary of Articulatory Kinematic Studies (continued)

Author(s) (year)	Number of participants	AOS severity & aphasia	Targets/Dependent variables	Treatment description	Outcomes
Wambaugh & Nessler (2004)	1	Moderate–severe AOS With aphasia	Specific sounds in monosyllabic words	Sound Production Treatment—minimal pair contrasting, repetition, modeling, articulatory placement cues, verbal feedback, integral stimulation, visual cues, graphemic cues	Improved production of sounds in words. Improved production maintained for some sounds.
Wambaugh & Mauszycki (2010)	1	Severe AOS With aphasia	Sounds in CV or CVC words	Sound Production Treatment—minimal pair contrasting, repetition, modeling, articulatory placement cues, verbal feedback, integral stimulation, visual cues, graphemic cues	Improved production of trained words; generalization to untrained examples of trained sounds; maintenance at 10 weeks
Wambaugh et al. (2012)	10	AOS, but no reported severity With aphasia	Sounds in words	Repeated practice after a model. Repeated practice with rate rhythm control.	Improvements with repeated practice. Limited affects with the addition of rate/rhythm approach.
Youmans et al. (2011a; 2011b)	3	(1) Mild–moderate AOS (2, 3) Moderate– severe AOS With aphasia	Scripts personalized to the specific participant	Script training— clinician models, unison productions of phrases, fading cues during unison productions, orthographic cues, and independent productions	Increased the number of correct words produced in each of three scripts. Participants reported using the scripts and demonstrated maintenance.